

I understand why consumers all across the United States have filed comments with the Commission, asking them to defend broadcasting like K-Love. They want to hear an alternative to the overly commercial, frequently offensive programming put out by broadcasters like Clear Channel and Viacom. I would encourage the FCC to take note of the comments put out by the Prometheus Radio Project on the subject of translator stations, which say, in part:

"We believe that translators should have a secondary status with regard to locally based Low Power stations ." if a bonafide local organization wants to use a channel for real, new, local broadcasting, they should not be pre-empted by a repeater. The licensing system as it stands gives preference to remote, out of state organizations looking to rebroadcast on thousands of channels nationwide, rather than to local entities who want just one community radio station."

I live in Syracuse, NY and I can tell you we do not need more reglious broadcasting from some satellite feed 24 hrs a day. Clear Channel and Sinclair broadcasting have done enough by themselves to turn the airwaves into a massive garbage dump of generic fluff and propaganda.

Does it benefit the public to allow one organization, no matter how pious it claims to be, to purchase hundreds or thousand of repeaters for its own purposes across the united states with no interest in local public needs but every interest in the publics heart and wallet?

Support local broadcasting for local communities needs!